STARTER FERTILIZER OF VARYING GRADES AND RATES FOR NO-TILLAGE CORN IN ARGENTINA



Ricardo Melgar, Enrique Figueroa & Gabriel Kuriger

INTA - Exp.St. Pergamino and Mercedes

Fluid Fertilizer Forum, 2014



Fluid fertilizers in Argentina

- The development of a fluid fertilizer industry has been growing steadily since its inception in 1996 (the 1st national-scale manufacturer)
- Recent challenges deals with NPS/NPSK clear solutions (mostly manufactured by small & medium firms) for early application (pre-plant, planting, post-emergence)
- To give the proper...
 - Timing
 - Placement
 - Rate

... for this new given source



- N and P, alone or placed together are thought the major contributors.
- The challenge is to apply as much at sowing to cover replacement without waste and potential fitotoxicity:

Corn 10 mt ha⁻¹ → 38 kg P ha⁻¹ 48 kg K ha⁻¹ 10 kg S ha⁻¹

Heckman et al, 2003

Objective

PETROPLANT

0

To find out the best NPKS grades and rates as a starter for corn grain yield in two regions of Argentina

IQUIDOS

FEBTILIZANTES

We aimed to get a simple blend proportion among common fluid sources that would be easily adopted by retailers and farmers

Experiments in two different environments

- Pergamino (Buenos Aires, 34°S).
 Thermic. Very High soil K low S
- Mercedes (Corrientes, 29°S).
 Hyperthermic. Very Low soil K low S

| | 100 | | | | | |
|---|---------------|----------|------|------------|------|---|
| | 1.0 | | | | | |
| ſ | | | N. 1 | U . | Carl | |
| l | N.C. | 12 | | 077 | | 1 |
| 1 | 2 8. | 2. | | 1 5 | | ł |
| | 18 1 | . | | | | l |
| | | | | | A. 1 | |
| | - 63 - L | 1 | 1 | \sum | 1 | |
| | - A () | - S | | | 9 | |
| | - 17 1 | | X | <u> </u> | | |
| | 105 | | | | | |
| | | | 2 B. | | | |
| | 5 5 | | | | | |
| | | | 5 U. | | | |
| | 1000 | | | | | |
| | 1.00 | | | | | |
| | 11 B S | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 1.1 | | | | | |
| | 100 | | | | | |
| | 100 C | | | | | |

| Location | Texture top soil | рН | ОМ | P- Bray 1 | S-SO4 | K |
|-----------|---------------------|-----|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----|
| | | | g kg ⁻¹ | | mg kg ⁻¹ | |
| Pergamino | Loamy clay | 5.7 | 37 | 14.6 | 7.8 | 486 |
| 2012-13 | | 5.5 | 35 | 44.7 | 17.5 | 505 |
| 2013-14 | | 5.5 | 20 | 3.8 | 6.0 | 367 |
| Mercedes | Sandy loam | 5.8 | 24 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 47 |
| 2012-13 | | 5.5 | 18 | 10.7 | 4.9 | 55 |
| 2013-14 | | 5.5 | 22 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 51 |



- NK900, sown November 15-2011, 7.5 pl m²
- **2012** Arvales 2310 MG, sown December 15 -2012, 7.6 pl m²
- **2013** DK192, sown October 24-2013, 7.0 pl m²



2011

DK390 HX RR, sown December 22-2011, 6.1 pl m²
 M510 HX RR2, sown August 30-2012, 7.9 pl m²
 DK190, sown September 20-2013, 6.6 pl m²

Materials and Methods

Treatment Factors:

 Contrast: Granular vs. Fluid
 Varying P:N ratios: + S in Pergamino 1:1 & 2:1 APP-ATS
 + K in Mercedes 1:1:1 & 1:2:1 UAN-APP-ATK

Rates of product:

120 & 180 kg/ha - Pergamino 150 & 250 kg/ha - Mercedes

Fluid materials used to prepare the mixes

| Product | Ν | P_2O_5 | K ₂ O | S | SG - Density |
|---------|------|----------|------------------|------|--------------|
| | | g | /g | | kg/lt |
| APP | 0.11 | 0.37 | | | 1.42 |
| TSA | 0.12 | | | 0.26 | 1.32 |
| TSK | | | 0.25 | 0.17 | 1.46 |
| UAN | 0.32 | | | | 1.32 |

Granular sources used to prepare the mixes

| | Pergamino | | | Mercedes | |
|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|----------|-------|
| N:P ratio | N:P = 1:1 | N:P 1:2 | N:P:K ratio | 1:1:1 | 1:2:1 |
| | F10/ | 720/ | DAP | 9% | 35% |
| DAP | 51% | 12% | CLK | 21% | 15% |
| SSP | 49% | 28% | SSP | 70% | 50% |

Fertilizer treatments - Pergamino

| | Starter | | Nutrients w/starter | | | Urea | | | N:P ratios | |
|------------|---------|------|---------------------|----|-------|--------|--------|---------|------------|-----|
| Treatments | Ratio | Rate | Ν | Ρ | S | Sowing | at V-6 | N Total | P:N | S:N |
| | ISA:APP | | | | kg/ha | | | | | |
| Check | - | 0 | - | - | - | 36 | 312 | 160 | | |
| Granular A | - | 65 | 3 | 18 | 6 | 33 | 309 | 160 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Fluid 1 | 1:1 | 120 | 22 | 22 | 7 | - | 298 | 160 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Fluid 2 | 1:1 | 180 | 33 | 33 | 11 | - | 273 | 160 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Granular B | - | 75 | 7 | 26 | 4 | 21 | 306 | 160 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| Fluid 1 | 1:2 | 120 | 19 | 29 | 5 | - | 306 | 160 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| Fluid 2 | 1:2 | 180 | 29 | 44 | 4 | - | 285 | 160 | 1.5 | 0.1 |

Fertilizer treatments - Pergamino

NP Rates

| | Starter | | Nutrients w/starter | | | Urea | | | Ratios | |
|------------|---------|------|---------------------|----|-------|-----------------|--------|---------|--------|-----|
| Treatments | Ratio | Rate | Ν | Ρ | S | Sowing | at V-6 | N Total | P:N | S:N |
| | ISA:APP | | | | kg/ha | | | | | |
| Check | - | 0 | - | - | - | 36 | 312 | 160 | | |
| Granular A | - | 65 | 3 | 18 | 6 | 33 | 309 | 160 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Fluid 1 | 1:1 | 120 | 22 | 22 | 7 | ٦- ⁻ | 298 | 160 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Fluid 2 | 1:1 | 180 | 33 | 33 | 11 | - | 273 | 160 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Granular B | - | 75 | 7 | 26 | 4 | 21 | 306 | 160 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| Fluid 1 | 1:2 | 120 | 19 | 29 | 5 | - [| 306 | 160 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| Fluid 2 | 1:2 | 180 | 29 | 44 | 7 | | 285 | 160 | 1.5 | 0.1 |

Fertilizer treatments - Mercedes

N:P ratios

| | Starter | | Nutrients w/starter | | | | Urea | | | Ratios | |
|------------|------------------|------|---------------------|----|-----|-----|--------|--------|---------|--------|-----|
| Treatments | Ratio UAN:APP | Rate | Ν | Ρ | К | S | Sowing | at V-6 | N Total | P:N | K:N |
| | :TSK | | | | kg/ | 'ha | | | | | |
| Check | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 218 | 120 | | |
| Granular A | - | 100 | 2 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 43 | 215 | 120 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Fluid 1 | 1:1:1 | 150 | 21 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 215 | 120 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Fluid 2 | 1:1:1 | 250 | 35 | 31 | 21 | 14 | 29 | 184 | 120 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Granular B | - | 110 | 7 | 29 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 217 | 120 | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Fluid 1 | 1:2:1 | 150 | 20 | 28 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 217 | 120 | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Fluid 2 | 1:2:1 | 250 | 34 | 46 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 188 | 120 | 1.4 | 0.5 |

Fertilizer treatments - Mercedes

NP rates

| | Starter | | Nut | Nutrients w/starter | | | | Urea | | | Ratios | |
|------------|--------------------------|------|-----|---------------------|----------|----|--------|--------|---------|-----|--------|--|
| Treatments | Ratio UAN:APP ·TSK | Rate | Ν | Ρ | K | S | Sowing | at V-6 | N Total | P:N | K:N | |
| Check | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | ۳g/ 0 | 0 | 43 | 218 | 120 | | | |
| Granular A | - | 100 | 2 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 43 | 215 | 120 | 0.9 | 0.6 | |
| Fluid 1 | 1:1:1 | 150 | 21 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 215 | 120 | 0.9 | 0.6 | |
| Fluid 2 | 1:1:1 | 250 | 35 | 31 | 21 | 14 | 29 | 184 | 120 | 0.9 | 0.6 | |
| Granular B | - | 110 | 7 | 29 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 217 | 120 | 1.4 | 0.5 | |
| Fluid 1 | 1:2:1 | 150 | 20 | 28 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 217 | 120 | 1.4 | 0.5 | |
| Fluid 2 | 1:2:1 | 250 | 34 | 46 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 188 | 120 | 1.4 | 0.5 | |

Results & Discussion Pergamino



Results & Discussion Mercedes



Statistics

| - | Merc | edes | Pergamino | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|---------|--|--|--|
| | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | | | |
| | | | Pr > F | IN ANALAN | | | | |
| Treat vs 🗶 | Marthall Mart | | 1 march | AND AND AND | | | | |
| Control | <.0001 ** | <.0001 | <.0001 ** | 0.076 | 0.46 ns | | | |
| Granular vs. | TAX REP. | North Comments | | All hards | | | | |
| Fluid | 0.0004 ** | 0.33 ns | 0.32 ns | 0.72 ns | 0.85 ns | | | |
| Rate | <.0001 ** | 0.47 ns | <.0001 ** | 0.47 ns | 0.42 ns | | | |
| P:N ratio | <.0001 ** | 0.38 ns | 0.102# | 0.52 ns | 0.91 ns | | | |
| Rate* P:N | J. M. V.S. | | | | | | | |
| ratio | 0.88 ns | 0.15 ns | 0.93 ns | 0.96 ns | 0.73 ns | | | |
| LSD 5% | 643 | 597 | 421 | 471 | 2263 | | | |
| CV % | 6.7 | 6.9 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 18.6 | | | |

Pergamino – Very high K In high P fertility soils the response to P is low, regardless N:P ratio, fertilizer form or P rate



Soil P Bray 1: 15-44 ppm

Pergamino – Very high K

The same is observed on S response, low since requirement is low relative to the supply



Available S-SO4 : 8 - 18 ppm

Pergamino – Very high K

Several rates & NPS ratio appears suitable, given the lack of difference among the RY, the cost of the nutrients and the closest balance

| Pergamino | Applied | Remova | al kg ha ⁻¹ | Relative yield | P | S S |
|------------|------------|--------|------------------------|----------------|---------|------------|
| Treatments | Kg P –S ha | P | S | % | Use/Rem | oval ratio |
| Granular A | 18-6 | 33 | 8.7 | 91% | 53% | 65% |
| Fluid 1 | 22 - 7 | 33 | 8.6 | 97% | 69% | 85% |
| Fluid 2 | 33 - 11 | 32 | 8.5 | 95% | 104% | 128% |
| Granular B | 26 - 4 | 33 | 8.5 | 94% | 79% | 49% |
| Fluid 1 | 29 – 5 | 34 | 8.9 | 95% | 87% | 54% |
| Fluid 2 | 44 - 7 | 34 | 8.9 | 99% | 130% | 80% |

(*) Average of the 2 years

Mercedes – Very Low K N:P ratio or the rate of the starter means a definite P rate in low P soils, regardless N ratio or fertilizer form



Soil P Bray 1 : 5 - 10 ppm

Mercedes – Very Low K

On the other hand, response to K seems linear, therefore starter response is response to K



Soil exchangeable K: 47-55 ppm

Mercedes – Very Low K

That rate & NPK ratio seems the best, given the highest RY, the highest K supply and the closest balance

| | | | | | vield | | |
|------------|--------------------------|----|---|----|-------|----------|-----------------|
| Treatments | Kg P – K ha ⁻ | P | K | | % | Use/Remo | oval ratio) |
| Granular A | 18-12 | 25 | | 32 | 85% | 79% | 42% |
| Fluid 1 | 18-12 | 25 | | 31 | 85% | 77% | 41% |
| Fluid 2 | 31-21 | 28 | | 86 | 96% | 113% | 60% |
| Granular B | 29-10 | 26 | | 32 | 89% | 114% | 30% |
| Fluid 1 | 28-9 | 22 | | 27 | 76% | 122% | 33% |
| Fluid 2 | 46-10 | 25 | | 32 | 87% | 183% | 48% |

(*) Average of the 3 years

Conclusions

- There was response to fertilization in four of the five experiments.
- Fluid was not different to granular at same nutrient rate and P:N ratio in all trials.
- The P:N ratio 1:1 performed better than 1:1.5 in Mercedes (need less N). In Pergamino was reverse (need more N) regardless the rate.
 The rate effect was significant only in Mercedes.

Conclusions

- There is always a concern on how starters affect plant stand, but in none of the trials was observed any reduction in stand
- The effect of starter on early growth were observed along the whole growth cycle in all trials, and resulted in grain yield differences (check vs. others)
- All evaluated grades were able to supply enough P or S to achieve a reasonable replacement percentage (application vs. removal), but not K

Additional trial comparing P sources as starter

- Location: 9 de Julio (Buenos Aires).
- Wheat cv. Baguette 802. Sown June 25, 2013.
- Soil
 - Sandy Clay Loam, pH 5.7, O.M. 2.8 %, P-Bray 12 ppm, K 678 ppm, S-SO4 10 ppm
- Seven (7) Treatments:
 - 2 rates: 21 & 41 kg P_2O_5 /ha + Check (no P)
 - 3 sources: 1 granular (MAP) and 2 fluids (APP & AOP)
- Design:
 - Randomized Block with 4 reps.
- N management.
 - N was equaled in 20 kg N/ha on all treatments at sowing
 - At Feeks 3.2 stage, 60 kg/ha was broadcast as UAN on the site.
- Weed and Pest management.
 - Usual chemical treatment

Results



No difference in grain yields among P sources
Significant response to P on grain yields and TW, regardless the source

very much for your attention !

collaborators ...

Gabriel Kuriger Enrique Figueroa Diego Saba



We Acknowledge The Fluid Fertilizer Foundation for their support in this research